

TCEC REPORT

MINUTES OF TECHNO-COMMERCIAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

No: NSTL /TCEC/23-24/LP-I/MD/32,

Date: 08/01/2024

1. Reference : RFP No. NSTL/24ATT032/LP-I/23-24/L-08, Dated, 29/12/2023
 2. Division : AES Directorate
 3. Nomenclature (in short) : 100 kW Mg - AgCl seawater activated reserve
primary batteries for LWT
 4. Purpose (Project / B U / MNT) : BU
 5. Project No. & PDC (if applicable) : NA
 6. Item demanded is/are under : Capital
 7. Specific purpose of the item : It is proposed to enhance the battery power up to
100 kW to meet the speed more than 47 knots.
 8. Mode of purchase : Single Bidding Mode (Defproc.gov.in) **STE**
 9. Estimated Cost : Rs.6,87,35,000/- (with silver cost & 18% GST)
 10. The following members of TCEC constituted by Director attended the meeting held
on 08/01/2024 at NSTL
 - (a) Dr. Abhay Kumar Mohanta, Sc-'F' : Chairman
 - (b) Shri. Anand Kumar, Sc-E : Member
 - (c) Shri. Sreedhar Pattnayak, Sc-F : Member, MMG
 - (d) Shri T Venugopal, Sc-E : Member, Q&R
 - (e) Shri. S. Sathiyakumar, Sc-'E' : Member, User Division
- Chairman co-opted Shri GVS Deepak, Manager, M/s. BDL, Visakhapatnam for this committee
11. The chairman welcomed all the members. The user group representative explained the detailed requirement and specification of the 100 kW Mg-AgCl battery procurement.
 12. It has been noted by TCEC that the tender enquiry was floated in Single Bidding Mode through GeM in customized bidding.
 13. In response to the tender enquiry, the following industry partner has responded with their techno commercial bids:-
 1. **M/s. HEB, Trichy.**
 14. The committee scrutinized the technical & commercial aspects of the offers based on the technical & commercial comparison as per guidelines given in PM 2020 at Para 6.33 to 6.37 and has asked for following clarification & confirmation (Copies enclosed) from the following firm during or before the TCEC meeting.

Firm's Name	Query (s) sought	Compliance	Remarks
M/s. HEB, Trichy	Operating Voltage range in the technical specifications.	Complied	Accepted
	Compliance for techno commercial	Complied	Accepted

15. The following offers are not meeting the techno-commercial specifications and hence not considered due to the reason mentioned against each:

Sl. No.	Firm's name	Reasons for Rejection
-NIL-		


The comparative statement of techno-commercial terms of Appendix – I to VII is enclosed.


16. **RECOMMENDATIONS:-**


Based on the above, the procurement case is recommended for further processing of bid of below mentioned Firm, as they are meeting the Techno-Commercial specifications of the tender enquiry. Further firm has submitted special note kept as annexure - I shall be discussed during TPC.


1. **M/s. HEB, Trichy.**



(S. Sathiyakumar, Sc-E)
User Member


(T. Venugopala Rao, Sc-E)
Member Q&R


(Sreedhar Pattnayak, Sc-F)
Rep - MMG


(Anand Kumar, Sc-E)
Member-Technical


(G S V Deepak)
Dr. Manager, M/s. BDL
Co-opted -Member


(Dr. Abhay Kumar Mohanta, Sc-'F')
CHAIRMAN - TCEC 11/01/2024

APPROVED / NOT APPROVED

DIRECTOR


12/01/24